IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

M.A.NO. 1365 / 2005

Single Bench

Appellant : The Director
(Non – Applicant No.4) Tamil Nadu Rajya Parivahan Nigam,
(Madurai Division)
L.No.19 Tiruanantpuram Road
Post _Baner Petai.
Tinelveli – 627 003 – (T.N.)

Versus

Respondents : 1. Subhash Prasad Satpati,
(Claimant) S/o Mitraban Satpati,
Aged about 45 years
Occupation – Watch Repair,
R/o Village Chitwahi,
P.S. – Tamnar,
Tehsil – Gharghoda,
Dist : Raigarh-(C.G.)

2. Raj Kumar Thakur
(Non Applicant) S/o Shivnarayan Thakur,
R/o village 33 Khuldabad
Allahabad
Dist : Allhabad-(U.P)

3. Santosh Kumar
S/o R.Dandra.
Occupation
R/o Vardhman
Dist : Vardhman

4. Branch Manager
National Insurance Co.Ltd.,
Mobin Mahal,
G.E.Road, Raipur,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

5. Algar Swami
S/o Velapan
Aged about 27 years,
R/o 1/49
Purv Ka rasta Gali
Chinta Karle Seta Patti Madurai,
Dist : Madurai-(T.N.)

P.R. No. 9814 dt. 16
Presented by Shri Ramji Shrivastava
dated 8/9/16

MISC. APPEAL U/S 173 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT.

Claim in Appeal : Rs. ~~13,60,000.00~~ 50,000
Fees Court fees paid : Rs. 15.00

(15)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.A.No 1365/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13/04/2006 None for the parties. Once the case has been called out during the course of the day, but for want of prosecution on behalf of the appellant, it was passed over. Now, the case has been called out again, but none appears for the appellant. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed for non-appearance of the appellant. **Sd/-** **V.K. Shrivastava** **Judge**	

Raju

[पीछे देखिये